Employer Survey Results

	Population	Number Responding	Percent Responding
FY2013	99	61	61.6%
FY2012	150	98	65.3%
FY2011	61	8	13.1%
FY2010	89	31	34.8%
FY2009	64	25	39.0%

	Year	Performance Average Rating	Importance Average Rating	GAP between Performance minus Importance
GENER	AL EDUCATIO	N SKILLS		
1. Readi	<u> </u>	ds and interprets written i	nformation	
	2013	4.99	5.02	-0.03
	2012	4.72	4.82	-0.10
	2011	4.75	4.67	+0.08
	2010	4.77	4.83	-0.07
	2009	4.60	4.81	-0.21
		ates thoughts and information	ation in documents su	ch as memos, letters,
	2013	4.79	4.77	+0.02
	2012	4.56	4.60	-0.04
	2011	4.38	4.50	-0.12
	2010	4.62	4.33	+0.29
	2009	4.38	4.71	-0.33
3. Listen	ing – uses acti	ve listening skills		11
	2013	4.96	5.13	-0.16
	2012	4.51	4.80	-0.29
	2011	4.63	4.67	-0.04
	2010	4.70	4.78	-0.08
	2009	4.52	4.88	-0.36
4. Speak	king – organize	s ideas and communicat	es clearly	П
	2013	4.96	4.89	+0.08
	2012	4.43	4.75	-0.32
	2011	4.38	4.50	-0.12
	2010	4.60	4.83	-0.23
	2009	4.44	4.81	-0.37

Significance was determined starting in 2012. The numbers in red represent Statistically Significant at the .05 level.

	Year	Performance Average Rating	Importance Average Rating	GAP between Performance minus Importance
5. Critic	 cal Thinking_rec	gnizes problems, gene		-
	2013	4.85	5.10	-0.25
	2012 4.44		4.78	-0.34
	2011	4.50	4.83	-0.33
	2010	4.60	4.89	-0.29
	2009	4.36	4.88	-0.52
6. Com	n putational skills-	u-demonstrates appropria	ıı ate mathematical skills	<u> </u>
	2013	4.88	4.77	+0.11
	2012	4.45	4.30	+0.15
	2011	4.50	4.33	+0.17
	2010	4.64	4.69	-0.04
	2009	4.32	4.19	+0.13
7. Cultu backgro		derstanding of differing (cultures and values an	d works with people of different
	2013	4.63	4.67	-0.04
	2012	4.57	4.59	-0.02
	2011	4.38	4.33	+0.05
	2010	4.80	4.78	+0.02
	2009	4.56	4.38	+0.22
INTERF	PERSONAL SK	ILLS	ll	ll
8. Tean	n work – contrib	utes to group efforts		
	2013	4.91	5.12	-0.22
	2012	4.71	4.86	-0.15
	2011	4.75	5.00	-0.25
	2010	4.70	4.89	-0.19
	2009	4.60	4.93	-0.33
9. Clien	nt service – work	s to satisfy clients' expe	ectations	
	2013	4.96	5.05	-0.08
	2012	4.68	4.80	-0.12
	2011	4.50	5.00	-0.50
	2010	4.80	4.89	-0.09
	2009	4.44	4.67	-0.23
10. Lea	dership – takes	initiative and has vision	Ш	Ш
	2013	4.46	4.75	-0.29
	2012	4.36	4.50	-0.14
	2011	4.75	4.83	-0.08
	2010	4.34	4.71	-0.36
	2009	4.12	4.53	-0.41
l	1	II	II .	<u>II</u>

Significance was determined starting in 2012. The numbers in red represent Statistically Significant at the .05 level.

	Year	Performance Average Rating	Importance Average Rating	GAP between Performance minus Importance
11. Neg	jotiating – works	s toward agreement on i		II
	2013	4.46	4.75	-0.29
	2012	4.28	4.42	-0.14
	2011	4.25	4.17	+0.08
	2010	4.52	4.53	-0.01
	2009	4.20	4.53	-0.33
12.Conf	flict resolution-r	ecognizes and resolves	conflicts	
	2013	4.63	4.81	-0.18
	2012	4.20	4.50	-0.30
	2011	4.25	4.33	-0.08
	2010	4.36	4.69	-0.33
	2009	4.17	4.57	-0.40
13. Con	sensus building	j–assists others to see a	all sides of an issue to	reach agreement
	2013	4.32	4.80	-0.48
	2012	4.24	4.43	-0.19
	2011	4.38	4.50	-0.12
	2010	4.55	4.59	-0.04
	2009	4.24	4.27	-0.03
TECHN	OLOGY SKILL	S	<u>l</u>	II
14 Com	puter utilization			
	2013	4.91	4.96	-0.06
	2012	4.55	4.43	+0.12
	2011	4.75	5.00	-0.25
	2010	4.73	4.61	+0.12
	2009	4.60	4.63	-0.03
15. Cho	osing appropria	ite technology (procedur	res, tools or equipmen	t)
	2013	4.72	4.78	-0.06
	2012 4.51 4.28		+0.23	
	2011	4.63	4.83	-0.20
	2010	4.64	4.75	-0.11
	2009	4.56	4.60	-0.16
	lying technology arious forms of		how to use technology	for better productivity and to
	2013	4.88	4.88	0.00
	2012	4.45	4.24	+0.21
	2011	4.63	4.75	-0.12
	2010	4.64	4.75	-0.11
	2009	4.36	4.40	-0.04

Significance was determined starting in 2012. The numbers in red represent Statistically Significant at the .05 level.

17. What is your **overall rating** of the education received by OCCC students as it relates to the requirements of their job?

	Excellent	Good	Acceptable	Lacking in Some Skills	Totally Lacking	TOTAL Responding	Avg
2013	75.4% (43)	24.6% (14)				57	4.75
2012	63.2% (60)	32.6% (31)	4.2% (4)			97	4.59
2011	50.0% (4)	50.0% (4)				8	4.50
2010	82.1% (23)	14.3% (4)	3.6% (1)			28	4.79
2009	60.0% (12)	40.0% (8)				20	4.60

18. Based on your experience with OCCC student(s), would you hire another student from OCCC?

	Yes	No	Total Responding
2013	100% (58)		58
2012	97.9% (95)	2.2% (2)	97
2011	100% (8)		8
2010	100% (28)		28
2009	95.8% (23)	4.2% (1)	24

19. If OCCC graduates were Bilingual (English and Spanish speaking), would they be more employable?

	Yes	No	Total Responding
2013	57.4% (31)	42.6% (23)	54
2012	53.2% (50)	46.8% (44)	94
2011	37.5% (3)	62.5% (5)	8
2010	36.8% (12)	63.2% (19)	28
2009	35.7% (5)	64.3% (9)	14